

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,842	COOK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shane Bomar	3672	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Shane Bomar.

(3) _____.

 (2) Todd Mattingly.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2006
Time: 2:15pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

44 and 45

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Attorney Mattingly regarding the After Final amendment filed on June 12, 2006, wherein claims 44 and 45 depended from cancelled claims 40 and 41. Mr. Mattingly agreed to change the claims to depend from claims 19 and 20 via an Examiner's Amendment.